



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,308	01/22/2004	Tristan Goguen	1552-3/JLW	3087
38735	7590	06/26/2006	EXAMINER	
DIMOCK STRATTON LLP 20 QUEEN STREET WEST SUITE 3202, BOX 102 TORONTO, ON M5H 3R3 CANADA			ORTIZ, BELIX M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2164	

DATE MAILED: 06/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/761,308	Applicant(s) GOGUEN, TRISTAN	
	Examiner Belix M. Ortiz	Art Unit 2164	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 January 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: pre-ambble should end with a “:”. Appropriate correction is required.

2. Claims 2-10 are objected to because as being dependent from objected dependent claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e)(Eff. Filing date of foreign application 2/28/2003) as being anticipated by Benowitz et al. (U.S pub. 2003/0236847) (Eff. filing date of provisional application 6/19/2002).

As to claim 1, Benowitz et al. teaches a system for blocking email messages sent over a communications network to a recipient (see abstract and paragraphs 8 and 27), comprising:

a recipient message handler for receiving email messages from senders (see figure 1A, character 18, figure 5, character 158 and figure 7, character 312),

at least one recipient permitted sender database (PSD) for storing sender information associated with senders authorized by the recipient (see paragraph 87),

at least one recipient group Permitted Sender Database (PSD) for storing sender information associated with all members of a recipient group of which the recipient is a member (see paragraph 85 and 87), and

a validation processor for comparing sender information in an email message received from a sender with corresponding sender information stored in the recipient PSD and the recipient group PSD (see abstract and paragraph 8, 10, 87, 91, 93, and 102),

whereby when sender information in an email message sent by a sender matches corresponding sender information stored in the recipient PSD or the recipient group PSD the email message is forwarded or made accessible to the recipient, otherwise the email message is not forwarded or made accessible to the recipient (see abstract; figure 1A, character 16 and 20; figure 7, character 208; and paragraph 8, 10, 87, 91, 93, and 102).

As to claims 2 and 12, Benowitz et al. teaches wherein when the sender information in an email message sent by a sender does not match corresponding sender information stored in the recipient PSD but does match sender information stored in the recipient group PSD, the sender information is added to the recipient PSD(see figures 7 and 9).

As to claims 3 and 13, Benowitz et al. teaches wherein the sender information comprises a sender email address (see paragraphs 6, 8, and 28).

As to claims 4 and 14, Benowitz et al. teaches wherein the sender information comprises a sender message handler Internet Protocol (IP) address (see paragraphs 28-29).

As to claims 5 and 15, Benowitz et al. teaches wherein when the sender's message handler internet protocol (IP) address does not match the message handler internet protocol (IP) address previously associated with that sender, the sender's message is held (see paragraphs 28-29).

As to claims 6 and 16, Benowitz et al. teaches wherein when sender information in an email message sent by a sender does not match corresponding information stored in the recipient PSD or the recipient group PSD the email message is held for a preset hold interval and a message is sent to the sender with directions for completing a permission request form for submission to the recipient (see figure 1A, characters 20, 22, 24 and figures 7, characters 306, 308, 314, and 316).

As to claims 7 and 17, Benowitz et al. teaches wherein where the recipient does not add the sender to the recipient PSD before the preset hold interval expires, after the preset hold interval the sender's email message is automatically deleted (see figure 7, character 330 and paragraphs 7-8, 12, and 34).

As to claims 8 and 18, Benowitz et al. teaches wherein where the recipient does not add the sender to the permitted sender database the sender's email message is deleted (see paragraphs 7-8 and 25).

As to claims 9 and 19, Benowitz et al. teaches wherein when the recipient adds a sender to the recipient PSD an email message is automatically sent to the sender notifying the sender of the addition of the sender to the recipient PSD (see figure 7, characters 304, 314-334 and figures 9, characters 402-420).

As to claims 10 and 20, Benowitz et al. teaches wherein an email message is automatically sent to the sender notifying the sender of the refusal to add the sender to the permitted sender database (see paragraphs 102 and 106).

As to claim 11, Benowitz et al. teaches a method of blocking email messages sent over a communications network to a recipient (see abstract and paragraphs 8 and 27), comprising the steps of:

- a. storing in a recipient PSD sender information associated with senders authorized by the recipient (see paragraph 87),
- b. storing in a recipient group PSD sender information associated with senders authorized by at least one other recipient group member in a recipient group of which the recipient is a member (see paragraph 85 and 87),

c. receiving an email message from a sender (see figure 1A, character 18, figure 5, character 158 and figure 7, character 312),

d. comparing sender information in the email message received from the sender with corresponding sender information stored in the recipient PSD and the recipient group PSD (see abstract and paragraph 8, 10, 87, 91, 93, and 102), and

e. forwarding the email message to the recipient only if the sender information in the email message matches the corresponding sender information stored in the recipient PSD or the recipient class PSD (see abstract; figure 1A, character 16 and 20; figure 7, character 208; and paragraph 8, 10, 87, 91, 93, and 102).

Conclusion


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Belix M. Ortiz whose telephone number is 571-272-4081. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-5pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

bmo

June 21, 2006


CHARLES RONES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER